A GENERALIZED FIBONACCI-LIKE SEQUENCE

Satoshi OMORI

Nishibori 4-chome, Sakura-ku, Saitama-shi, Saitama-ken, 338-0832 Japan e-mail: rsj15335@nifty.com

May 1, 2009

ABSTRACT: A generalized Fibonacci-like sequence $\{S_n\}$ and its characteristic equation are presented. Fibonacci, Lucas, Pell, and Padovan sequences are included in the sequence $\{S_n\}$. It is shown that the equation has only one real solution x_0 in the range greater than 1 and the ratio S_n/S_{n-1}^m (*m*: a natural number) converges on x_0 under certain conditions.

1. DEFINITIONS AND A LEMMA

Let k be any integer greater than or equal to 2, and a_1 and a_k be natural numbers and other a_i (*i*=2,...,*k*-1) non-negative integers. Then, using natural numbers b_i (*i*=1,2,...,*k*), a generalized Fibonacci-like sequence { S_n } is defined by

 $S_i(i=0,1,...,k-2)$ any non-negative integers, S_{k-1} any natural number,

and

$$S_{n} = a_{1} S_{n-1}^{b_{1}} + a_{2} S_{n-2}^{b_{2}} + \dots + a_{k} S_{n-k}^{b_{k}}, \quad \text{for } n \ge k.$$
(1)

Here, we assume that as n increases the ratio $S_n/(S_{n-1})^m$ converges on a real number x, where m is a natural number. To determine x, let us write

$$\frac{S_n}{(S_{n-1})^m} = \frac{S_{n-1}}{(S_{n-2})^m} = \frac{S_{n-2}}{(S_{n-3})^m} = \dots = \frac{S_{n-k+1}}{(S_{n-k})^m} = X.$$
 (2)

Relations (2) can be rewritten as

$$S_{n-k+1} = X S_{n-k}^{m}, (3-1)$$

$$S_{n-k+2} = X S_{n-k+1}^{m} = X^{m+1} S_{n-k}^{m^{2}}, \qquad (3-2)$$

$$S_{n-k+3} = X \ S_{n-k+2}^{m} = X^{m^{2}+m+1} S_{n-k}^{m^{3}},$$
(3-3)

$$S_{n} = X S_{n-1}^{m} = X^{m^{k-1} + m^{k-2} + \dots + m+1} S_{n-k}^{m^{k}}.$$
(3-k)

By putting relations (3-1) to (3-k) in (1), we obtain

$$x^{m^{k-1}+m^{k-2}+\ldots+m+1}S_{n-k}^{m^{k}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}a_{i}x^{(m^{k-i-1}+m^{k-i-2}+\ldots+m+1)b_{i}}S_{n-k}^{m^{k-i}b_{i}} + a_{k}S_{n-k}^{b_{k}}.$$
 (4)

To have the identity (4) hold for any S_{n-k} , we need the following relations

$$S_{n-k}^{m^{k}} = S_{n-k}^{m^{k-i}b_{i}} = S_{n-k}^{b_{k}} \quad (i=1,2,\dots,k-1)$$
(5)

From (5) we obtain $b_1 = m, b_2 = m^2, ..., b_k = m^k$:

 $b_i = m^i$ (*i*=1,2,...,*k*). (6)

Putting (6) in (1) and (4) yields

$$S_{n} = a_{1} S_{n-1}^{m} + a_{2} S_{n-2}^{m^{2}} + \ldots + a_{k} S_{n-k}^{m^{k}}, \quad \text{for } n \ge k,$$
(7)

and a characteristic equation

$$x^{m^{k-1}+m^{k-2}+\dots+m+1} = a_1 x^{m^{k-1}+m^{k-2}+\dots+m} + a_2 x^{m^{k-1}+m^{k-2}+\dots+m^2} + \dots + a_{k-1} x^{m^{k-1}} + a_k.$$
(8)

Suppose that m=1, then the characteristic equation (8) of (7) leads to

$$x^{k} = a_{1}x^{k-1} + a_{2}x^{k-2} + \dots + a_{k-1}x + a_{k}.$$
(9)

Suppose that m=2 and k=3, then the sequence (7) and the characteristic equation (8) are as follows:

$$S_n = a_1 S_{n-1}^{2} + a_2 S_{n-2}^{4} + a_3 S_{n-3}^{8} , \quad \text{for } n \ge 3,$$
 (10)

and

$$x^7 = a_1 x^6 + a_2 x^4 + a_3 \quad . \tag{11}$$

Remark 1: It is easy to verify that equation (8) has only one real solution in the range x>1. Namely, since a_1 and a_k are natural numbers and other a_i 's(i=2,3,...,k-1) non-negative integers, by dividing both sides of (8) by

$$X^{m^{k-1}+m^{k-2}+\ldots+m},$$

we obtain

$$x = f(x) = a_1 + \frac{a_2}{x^m} + \frac{a_3}{x^{m^{2+m}}} + \dots + \frac{a_k}{x^{m^{k-1} + m^{k-2} + \dots + m}}$$
(12)

In the range x>1, as x increases from 1 whereas function f(x) decreases from $(a_1+a_2+...+a_k) ~(\geqq 2)$ to al monotonously, equation (12) has only one real solution x_0 and so does equation (8).

Remark 2: It is obvious from equation (12) that

$$1 \leq a_1 < x_0 < (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k) \quad , \tag{13}$$

and

if
$$x < x_0$$
, then $f(x) > x_0$, and if $x > x_0$, then $f(x) < x_0$, (14)

where f(x) is defined by (10) in the range x>1.

Further, it should be noted that x_0 is also the solution of the following equation:

$$x = f(f(x)) . \tag{15}$$

Definition 1: If equation (15) has no real solution other than x_0 in the range x>1, then we assume that the function f(x) defined by (12) is "simple."

Suppose that function f(x) is NOT simple. Then, there exist real numbers e, g $(e \neq x_0, g \neq x_0, e \neq g, 1 < e, 1 < g)$ such that e=f(g) and g=f(e). In this case, since e=f(g)=f(f(e)) and g=f(e)=f(f(g)), equation (15) has at least two real solutions other than x_0 in the range x>0.

For example, in the cases of Fibonacci numbers $(m=1, k=2, a_1=a_2=1, S_0=0, S_1=1)$ [1], Lucas numbers $(m=1, k=2, a_1=a_2=1, S_0=2, S_1=1)$ [3], and Pell numbers $(m=1, k=2, a_1=2, a_2=1, S_0=0, S_1=1)$ [2], function f(x) is expressed as a_1+a_2/x , which is simple.

Lemma 1: Let function f(x) be simple. Then if $1 < x < x_0$, then x < f(f(x)), and if $x > x_0$, then x > f(f(x)), where x_0 is the only one solution of equation (10) in the range x > 1.

Proof: Let us write

$$F(x) = x - f(f(x)).$$
 (16)

When x increases from 1 to infinity, as function f(x) decreases from $(a_1+a_2+...+a_k)$ to a_1 monotonously, function f(f(x)) increases from $f(a_1+a_2+...+a_k)$ (>1) to $f(a_1)$ monotonously. Thus, F(x)<0 at x=1, and F(x)>0 at infinity. Further, $F(x_0)=0$, for $f(f(x_0))=f(x_0)=x_0$.

Then, since function f(x) is simple, F(x) never reaches 0 other than x_0 , we see that if $1 < x < x_0$, then F(x) < 0, and if $x > x_0$, then F(x) > 0, establishing the Lemma 1.

2. A THEOREM AND THE PROOF

Theorem 1: In a generalized Fibonacci-like sequence $\{S_n\}$ defined by (7), suppose that function f(x) defined by (12) is simple. Then, as *n* increases the ratio S_n/S_{n-1}^m converges on x_0 , where x_0 is the only one real solution of equation (12) or (8) in the range x>1.

Proof: Let us write

$$\frac{S_{n-i}}{(S_{n-i-1})^{m}} = \frac{S_{n-i}}{S_{n-i-1}^{m}} = Y_{n-i}, \quad for \ i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1.$$
(21)

Relations (21) can be rewritten as

$$S_{n-(k-1)} = Y_{n-(k-1)} S_{n-k}^{m} , \qquad (22-1)$$

$$S_{n-(k-2)} = Y_{n-(k-2)} S_{n-(k-1)}^{m} = Y_{n-(k-2)} Y_{n-(k-1)}^{m} S_{n-k}^{m^{2}}, \qquad (22-2)$$

$$S_{n-(k-3)} = Y_{n-(k-3)} S_{n-(k-2)}^{m} = Y_{n-(k-3)} Y_{n-(k-2)}^{m} Y_{n-(k-1)}^{m^{2}} S_{n-k}^{m^{3}},$$
(22-3)

$$S_{n} = Y_{n} S_{n-1}^{m} = Y_{n} Y_{n-1}^{m} \cdots Y_{n-(k-2)}^{m^{k-2}} Y_{n-(k-1)}^{m^{k-1}} S_{n-k}^{m^{k}}.$$
 (22-k)

By putting relations (22-1) to (22-k) in (7), we obtain

$$y_{n} y_{n-1}^{m} \dots y_{n-(k-1)}^{m^{k-1}} S_{n-k}^{m^{k}} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i} y_{n-i}^{m^{i}} y_{n-(i+1)}^{m^{i+1}} \dots y_{n-(k-1)}^{m^{k-1}} S_{n-k}^{m^{k}} + a_{k} S_{n-k}^{m^{k}}.$$
(23)

Dividing both sides of (23) by

$$Y_{n-1}^{m} Y_{n-2}^{m^2} \cdots Y_{n-(k-1)}^{m^{k-1}} S_{n-k}^{m^k}$$

leads to

$$y_n = a_1 + \frac{a_2}{y_{n-1}^m} + \frac{a_3}{y_{n-1}^m y_{n-2}^{m^2}} + \dots + \frac{a_k}{y_{n-1}^m y_{n-2}^{m^2} \dots y_{n-(k-1)}^{m^{k-1}}},$$
(24)

which is equivalent to definition (7) of the sequence $\{S_n\}$. It should be noted that by replacing each y_i (*i*=*n*-1,*n*-2,...,*n*-(*k*-1)) by *x* on the right side of (24) we obtain the same function as the function f(x) defined by (12).

First, since a_1 and S_{k-1} are natural numbers in (7), if $n \ge k-1$, then $S_n \ge 1$. Observe that a_k is also a natural number. Hence, if $n \ge 2k-1$, then

$$\frac{S_n}{S_{n-1}^m} = y_n > a_1 \ge 1.$$
(25)

Second, considering (25) and (24), we see that if $n \ge 3k-1$, then

$$1 < y_n < (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k) . \tag{26}$$

Comparing (11) and (26), we can choose two real numbers c_1 and d_1 such that

$$1 < c_1 < x_0 < d_1 < (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k), \text{ and } c_1 < y_n < d_1.$$
 (27)

The second inequality of (27) leads to

$$\frac{1}{d_1} < \frac{1}{y_n} < \frac{1}{c_1}$$

$$\tag{28}$$

By putting inequality (28) in (24) and using function f(x) defined by (12), we see that if

 $n \geq 4k-1$, then

$$1 < f(d_1) < y_n < f(c_1).$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Considering the first inequality1 of (27) and relation (14), we see that

$$f(d_1) < x_0 < f(c_1). \tag{30}$$

Then, inequality of (29) leads to

$$\frac{1}{f(c_1)} < \frac{1}{y_n} < \frac{1}{f(d_1)}.$$
(31)

By putting inequality (31) in (24) and using function f(x) defined by (12), we see that if $n \ge 5k$ -1, then

$$1 < f(f(c_1)) < y_n < f(f(d_1)) .$$
(32)

Observe that function f(x) is simple. Hence, it follows from Lemma 1 and (27), (30), (32) that

$$c_1 < f(f(c_1)) < y_n < f(d_1) < d_1$$
, and $f(f(c_1)) < x_0 < f(f(d_1))$. (33)

Let us write

$$f(f(c_1)) = c_2$$
, and $f(f(d_1)) = d_2$, (34)

then inequalities (34) can be rewritten as

$$1 < c_1 < c_2 < y_n < d_2 < d_1, \text{ and } c_2 < x_0 < d_2 \quad . \tag{35}$$

Further, if we start the above procedure for $n \ge 4k-1$ with inequalities (27),

then inequalities (35) holds for $n \ge 6k-1$.

Hence, as *n* increases, there exist sequences $\{c_i\}$ and $\{d_i\}$ such that

$$1 < c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_i < y_n < d_i < \dots < d_2 < d_1$$
, and $c_i < x_0 < d_i$, (36)

where $c_i = f(f(c_{i-1}))$ and $d_i = f(f(d_{i-1}))$. This completes the Theorem 1.

3. A MORE GENERALIZED CASE (*a*₁=0)(this part needs to be revised)

Suppose that $a_1=0$ and $a_p \ge 1$ (1< $p < k, k \ge 3$) in a generalized sequence $\{S_n\}$ defined by (7) to include Padovan sequence ($m=1, k=3, a_1=0, a_2=a_3=1, S_0=S_1=S_2=1$) [4] . In this case it is easy to verify that function f(x) defined by (12) ($a_1=0, a_p \ge 1, a_k$ ≥ 1) is simple in the sense of Definition 1.

Hence, considering the above proof, if there exists a number n_0 such that ratio $S_n/S_{n-1}^m > 1$ for $n > n_0$, then the ratio S_n/S_{n-1}^m converges on x_0 as n increases, where x_0 is the only one real solution of equation (12) or (8) in the range x > 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to express his thanks to anonymous readers for giving valuable advice.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. E. Andrews. "Some Formulae for the Fibonacci Sequence with Generalizations." The Fibonacci Quarterly 7.2 (1969): 113-30.
- W. L. McDaniel. "Triangular Numbers in the Pell Sequence."" The Fibonacci Quarterly 34 (1996): 105-07.
- N. Robbins. "A New Formula for Lucas Numbers." The Fibonacci Quarterly 29.4 (1991): 362-63.
- I. Stewart. "Tales of a Neglected Number." Scientific American 274 (1996): 102-03.

A GENERALIZED FIBONACCI-LIKE SEQUENCE

AMS Classification Number: 11B39